The videofluoroscopic view of pill swallowing disorder in young patients

The radiophysiology of pill swallowing disorder

Ozlem Turkoglu1, Birce Zorlutuna2, Çetin Sayaca3
1Department of Radiology, Health Science University Sultan Abdulhamid Han Educational Research Hospital
2Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Biruni University Hospital
3Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Uskudar University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract
Aim: The aim of the study was to evaluate the increasing frequency of pill swallowing disorders in young people, to compare pill intake by measuring fluid, semi-fluid pudding with anatomical and physiological images of videofluoroscopy.

Material and Methods: Twenty patients (eleven females, nine males) between the ages of 20 and 31 who had difficulty swallowing pills were included in the study. In an order of a cup of 20 ml of water, semi-fluid pudding and an opaque capsule were given. The oral, pharyngeal transition times and laryngeal elevation, proximal esophageal sphincter opening amount, upper esophageal opening amount were recorded for each.

Results: There was a statistically strong positive correlation between the total transit time of the pill and the amount of upper esophageal opening. The esophageal opening amount while the liquid intake was found to affect the esophageal opening of pill intake (p <0, 001). The laryngeal elevations of the pill affected pill oral transit times (p <0, 01). The pill pharyngeal passage time has been found to affect the pill upper esophageal opening amounts (p <0,01).

Discussion: The increased duration of the oral and pharyngeal phases for pill swallowing was found to be correlated with the amount of upper esophageal opening area, laryngeal elevation, and the prolonged pharyngeal transition time. As a new finding, we observed that the fluid oropharyngeal transition times were found to affect pill oropharyngeal transition times. We concluded that the pill could not decrease laryngeal elevation and pharyngeal transit duration.
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Introduction
Dysphagia is a condition that may occur due to structural, neurological, obstructive disorders. The subtitle of pill swallowing disorder has been studied in children, elderly people. This problem is getting common, especially in the young population who have been evaluated in studies [1]. For radiological evaluation, video-pharyngo-esophagography is a method used showing real-time functional images and pathologies, if any, from the formation of bolus (bite + saliva) to transport, especially in oro-pharyngeal and pharyngo-esophageal passages [2].

The aim of the study was to evaluate the swallowing function parameters of individuals with pill swallowing disorder radiologically.

Material and Methods
A total of 20 patients with dysphagia diagnosed between 2018 and 2020 at the Gastroenterology Clinic due to difficulty in swallowing pills were included in the study. The study was approved by the local university Ethics Committee dated 27/02/2019, approval number 21351342-2019-122. Written informed consent was obtained from patients who participated in this study. Our study included a total of 20 patients who applied to the Gastroenterology Clinic between 2018 and 2020 with the diagnosis of dysphagia. The patients who had aspiration pneumonia between 20 and 35 years of age, cranial nerve involvement, psychological disease, gastroesophageal reflux, and obstructive gastrointestinal tract mass, neurological and orthopedic diseases were excluded from the study. We used to evaluate the number and volume of swallows with a 100 ml water swallowing test (WST) where 100 ml of water is drunk by a person as quickly as possible sitting in upright position. The number of swallows until emptying the glass and the time until the larynx returns to the resting position in the last swallow was recorded. The time for swallowing was divided by the number of swallows; for each swallowing volume, the total amount of water is divided by the number of swallows. Later, EAT (eating assessment tool)-10 test was used to determine the severity of oropharyngeal dysphagia.

There are a total of 10 questions in this survey. Each question was responded 0-4, ranging from never to always. The total score is calculated as 40. If the total score obtained is two and above, it indicates that there is a swallowing problem. Then, patients underwent videofluoroscopy (VF) (barium swallowing study). VF examination is one of the objective methods and gold standard in evaluating the oral, pharyngeal, and esophageal phases of swallowing [3-5]. The patient was brought in a sitting position. First, the individuals drank 20 ml of pineapple juice. Pine-apple juice has been preferred to improve image quality. Then, puddling composed of 60% of 20 cc opaque substance (iopamidol) was given to the individuals. At the end, patients were asked to swallow the pill (empty of ingredients and ½ granulated sugar and ½ opaque substance (Figures 1-3). In all three applications, laryngeal elevation, PES opening amount, oral transit time, oropharyngeal transition time, pharyngeal transition time, total transit time, and upper esophageal opening amount parameters were recorded.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 22.0 statistical software was used to perform statistical analysis of our data. After descriptive statistics were recorded, the Mann-Whitney U test was used for inter-group comparisons of nonparametric data. When evaluating operating data, the plausibility of the parameters with the normal distribution was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

A correlation analysis between the quantitative datas was performed by using the Spearman rank test. Simple linear regression analysis was used to see the impact rates of the variables that are related. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
In our study, there were 9 (45.0%) males and 11 (55.0%) females. The average age of the participants was 23.40±2.14 years. Three (15.0%) individuals with smoking and 9 (45.0%) with alcohol intake habits were identified, providing they did not use them regularly.

There was a statistically strong positive correlation between the total transit times of the pills and the amount of upper esophageal opening (mm) (p <0.001). In other words, while the total transition time of the pills had increased, the amount of the esophageal opening increased (Table 1).

The fluid oropharyngeal transition times were found to have an effect on pill oropharyngeal transition times (F (1.18) = 15.797; p <0.01). Laryngeal elevations of the pill had an effect on pill oral passage times. In other words, 46.7% of pill oral passage times were explained by the symptoms of laryngeal elevations of the pills.

The laryngeal elevations of the pill had an effect on pill oral transit times (F (1.18) = 15.797; p <0.01). Laryngeal elevations of the pill affected 46.7% of pill oral passage times. In other words, 46.7% of pill oral passage times were explained by the symptoms of laryngeal elevations of the pills.

The oropharyngeal transition times were found to have an effect on pill oropharyngeal opening amounts of the pills (F (1.18) = 20.387; p <0.01). Laryngeal elevations of the pill affected 46.7% of pill oropharyngeal opening amounts. It means that, 83.7% of pill upper esophageal opening amounts were explained by the symptoms of fluid oropharyngeal transition times.

The esophageal opening amount while the liquid intake was found to affect the esophageal opening when taking pill (F (1.18) = 25,220; p <0.001). The liquid upper esophageal opening amounts affected 58.4% of the pill upper esophageal opening amounts. It means that, 58.4% of pill upper esophageal opening amounts were explained by the symptoms of liquid upper esophageal opening amounts.

The laryngeal elevations of the pill had an effect on pill oral transit times (F (1.18) = 15.797; p <0.01). Laryngeal elevations of the pill affected 46.7% of pill oral passage times. In other words, 46.7% of pill oral passage times were explained by the symptoms of laryngeal elevations of the pills.

The laryngeal elevations of the pill were found to have an effect on the upper esophageal opening amounts of the pills (F (1.18) = 20.387; p <0.01). Laryngeal elevations of the pill affected 46.7% of pill oropharyngeal opening amounts of the pills. It means that, 83.7% of pill upper esophageal opening amounts were explained by the symptoms of laryngeal elevations of the pills. Pill PES opening amounts were found to have an effect on the pharyngeal transition time of the pill (F (1.18) = 56.478; p <0.01). Pill PES openings affected 75.8% of pill pharyngeal transition time. In other words, 75.8% of the pill pharyngeal transition time was explained by the PES opening amounts.

Pill pharyngeal transition time was found to have an effect on the pill oral transit time (F (1.18) = 7.582; p <0.05 ). Pill pharyngeal passage time affected 29.6% of pill oral passage time. It means that, 29.6% of the pill oral passage time was explained by the symptoms of pill pharyngeal passage time. The
pill pharyngeal passage time were found to have an effect on the pill upper esophageal opening amounts (F (1.18) = 11,262; p < 0.01). Pill pharyngeal transition time affected 86.7% of pill upper esophageal opening amounts. It means that, 86.7% of pill upper esophageal opening amounts were explained by the symptoms of pill pharyngeal transition time (Table 2).

**Discussion**

We selected a young population group in our study, with swallowing disorder of pill having the mean age of 23.40 ± 2.14 years. In the literature, this problem has been mentioned in the adolescence and child age group [6] and younger patients and women [7]. There is also literature indicating the young age group and children with this problem [7,8]. Also, existing literature mentioned that common occurrence of swallowing problems in women can be related to increased stress [9].

### Table 1. Assessing relationships between the pill ingest values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pill Laryngeal Elevation</td>
<td>Pill Laryngeal Elevation</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.780</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.836</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.706</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.710</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill Pes opening amount</td>
<td>Pill Pes opening amount</td>
<td>.780</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.722</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.863</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.899</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill oral transit time</td>
<td>Pill oral transit time</td>
<td>.856</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.722</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.859</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.812</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill oropharyngeal transition time</td>
<td>Pill oropharyngeal transition time</td>
<td>.706</td>
<td>.001**</td>
<td>.863</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.859</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.915</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngeal Transition Time</td>
<td>Pharyngeal Transition Time</td>
<td>.710</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.915</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.998</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.866</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total transit time</td>
<td>Total transit time</td>
<td>.825</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.718</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.998</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.866</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.818</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal opening amount</td>
<td>Esophageal opening amount</td>
<td>.770</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.937</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.729</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.814</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>.876</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05 **p < .01

### Table 2. Swallowing function parameters detected with videofluoroscopic evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Standard deviation of B</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid oral phase</td>
<td>Constant Pill Total Phase</td>
<td>.199</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>20,509</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pill Total Phase</td>
<td>-.042</td>
<td>-.016</td>
<td>-.533</td>
<td>-.2675</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = .533</td>
<td>R2 = .284</td>
<td>F(1,18) = 7,154</td>
<td>p = .015*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid upper esophageal opening amount</td>
<td>Constant Esophageal opening amount</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>24,711</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Esophageal opening amount</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.764</td>
<td>5,022</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = .764</td>
<td>R2 = .584</td>
<td>F(1,18) = 25,220</td>
<td>p &lt; .000**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill Laryngeal Elevation</td>
<td>Pill Laryngeal Elevation</td>
<td>.649</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>2,824</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pill oral transit time</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>.684</td>
<td>3,975</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = .684</td>
<td>R2 = .467</td>
<td>F(1,18) = 15,797</td>
<td>p &lt; .000**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill Laryngeal Elevation</td>
<td>Pill Laryngeal Elevation</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.155</td>
<td>.458</td>
<td>.652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pill oropharyngeal opening amount</td>
<td>1,057</td>
<td>.110</td>
<td>.915</td>
<td>9,598</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = .915</td>
<td>R2 = .837</td>
<td>F(1,18) = 20,583</td>
<td>p &lt; .000**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill Pes opening amount</td>
<td>Pill Pes opening amount</td>
<td>-.015</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>-.278</td>
<td>.784</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pill oropharyngeal opening amount</td>
<td>2,220</td>
<td>.295</td>
<td>.871</td>
<td>7,515</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = .871</td>
<td>R2 = .758</td>
<td>F(1,18) = 56,478</td>
<td>p &lt; .000**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngeal Transition Time</td>
<td>Pharyngeal Transition Time</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>2,762</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pill oral transit time</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.544</td>
<td>2,754</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = .544</td>
<td>R2 = .296</td>
<td>F(1,18) = 7,582</td>
<td>p &lt; .013*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngeal Transition Time</td>
<td>Pharyngeal Transition Time</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.194</td>
<td>.849</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pill Upper esophageal opening amount</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>10,829</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = .931</td>
<td>R2 = .867</td>
<td>F(1,18) = 117,262</td>
<td>p &lt; .000**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reflex, residue after swallowing, insufficient passage to the esophagus, regurgitation of swallowed food [3-5], that is why we preferred VF in our study. Swallowing disorder is a problem of functional and anatomical differences related to age, gender, congenital reasons, or some diseases, and can also be explained by various dosage forms of pill [7,10]. In summary, the reasons have been explained by physiological processes and anatomical differences concerning the dimensions and function of mouth, pharynx, upper esophageal sphincter, and esophagus [7].

After the food is taken, it is processed with saliva and sent to the pharynx voluntarily. Thus, the involuntary pharyngeal phase is triggered. The muscles of soft palate almost all is innervated by the pharyngeal branches of the vagus and motor branch of the mandibular nerve (CN V/III) (tensor veli palatini). The soft palate closes nasopharynx; the larynx rises and bends forward; true and false vocal cords close, and pharyngeal constrictors contract sequentially, pushing the nutrient bolus into the esophagus. The upper esophageal sphincter (cricopharyngeal muscle) (UES) is innervated by the pharyngeal branches of vagus, ansa cervicalis (c1-c2-c3), and sympathetic nerves (from cervical ganglion). It relaxes simultaneously and remains open to receive the bolus of food. Then this bolus of food is delivered to the stomach through peristaltic movements. Problems in one or all of these stages cause swallowing disorder [11]. The three stages of swallowing are irrelevant to each other [12,13]. The brain stem associates three phases in consequence and the peripheral effects of stages depend on sensory feedback reflexes which are about the volume and consistency of the bolus. The reflexes of the pharynx and esophagus depend on the characteristics of the bolus. Reflexes that initiate the pharyngeal phase of swallowing also inhibit the esophageal phase, which ensures the proper timing of its formation to ensure effective bolus transport and prevents the occurrence of multiple esophageal peristaltic events [12-16]. During swallowing of the pill, the prolonged oral transit that is between the volunteer and unvolunteer phases may cause the discorrelation of peripheral manifestations of pharyngeal reflexes with brain stem timing [3].

In our study, the increased duration of the pill between oral and pharyngeal phases was found correlated with the amount of upper esophageal opening, laryngeal elevation, and the prolonged pharyngeal transition time. We observed that pill pharyngeal transition time showed correlation with laryngeal elevation (46.7%) and upper esophageal opening amount (75.8%) in a positive way. The increased amount of upper esophageal opening caused prolonged pharyngeal pill transition time and increased laryngeal elevation, which in turn would facilitate the opening of the UES. Pill pharyngeal transition time was approximately one-third of the pill oral transition time.

In our study, the fluid oropharyngeal transition times were found to have an effect on pill oropharyngeal transition times. This meant that a similar pattern of oral transport occurred while taking fluid and pill. This was different from the literature mentioning that the oral transport of the liquid bolus and solid food was different. During the liquid passage, the oral cavity and pharynx were separated rigidly whereas during food processing, open communication between the oral cavity and

---

**Figure 1.** Pineapple juice passage

**Figure 2.** Pudding passage

**Figure 3.** Pill passage
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Pharynx was observed [17, 18]. The literature reported that an increase in bolus volume has no effect on the duration of oral and pharyngeal bolus transit times or pharyngeal peristaltic waves but leads to prolonged UES clearance, longer laryngeal closure time, and longer swallowing apnea [13, 19, 20]. As our study points out, the increased duration of the pill between oral and pharyngeal phases was found correlated with the amount of upper esophageal opening, laryngeal elevation, and the prolonged pharyngeal transition time.

Conclusion

In our study, we evaluated the difficulty of swallowing pills by radiolophysiological approach. Surprisingly, the passage of fluid in the oral cavity was determinative for the pill transport; maybe this observation should excite whether the pill behaves like a fluid but captured like solid when it could not decrease laryngeal elevation and pharyngeal transit duration.
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